

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,929	01/16/2001	Silvia Gohlke	P- 00,1958	8930	
	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER		
	& FOERSTER LLP BOULEVARD	•	GOFF II,	GOFF II, JOHN L	
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	22102		1733		
			DATE MAD CD. 11/01/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/743,929	GOHLKE ET AL.				
, haviour housen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John L. Goff	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply original set in the statutory period for reply original set in the statutory period for the statutor	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
		NOTE hale				
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 						
(c) \Box they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or	in bottor form for appear by mate	many reducing or simplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-16,18 and 19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-8</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	,					

Application/Control Number: 09/743,929

Art Unit: 1733

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Independent claim 10 has been amended to incorporate dependent claim 19. Claim 10 now requires "the body is a substance for a high-frequency module". This is merely a capability of the ceramic body and does not require the ceramic body disclosed within a high-frequency module. Additionally, regarding what is required by a high-frequency module the specification discloses, "the body is particularly appropriate as substrate of an optimally small, complex electronic module (e.g. of a high-frequency module)." (Page 13, lines 8-11). Thus, the ceramic body taught by Nishikawa (as modified by Sato et al. and Polinski) could be used within a highfrequency module (e.g. small, complex electronic module) such that the limitation is met. Furthermore, applicants argue a "high-frequency module" requires "high quality electric strip conductors" wherein "high quality is achieved by using highly electrically conductive material such as a metal foil and not paste", it being noted this requirement is not disclosed by applicants specification. This argument is not persuasive as the ceramic body taught by Nishikawa as modified by Sato et al. and Polinski teach a ceramic body including metal foil conductors, i.e. "high quality electric strip conductors", such that the ceramic body is capable of being used within a "high-frequency module" and thus, the limitation is met.

John L. Goff

PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

Page 2